NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 814827/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 11/07/2019

STATE OF NEW YORK SUPREME COURT: COUNTY OF ERIE

AB 502 DOE,

Index No.

Plaintiff,

**SUMMONS** 

v.

HAMBURG CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT; HAMBURG HIGH SCHOOL,

Defendant(s).

# TO THE ABOVE NAMED DEFENDANT(S):

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED to answer the Complaint, a copy of which is hereby served upon you, and to serve a copy of your Answer to the Complaint upon the undersigned attorneys listed below within twenty (20) days after the service of this Summons, exclusive of the day of service (or within thirty (30) days after the service is complete if this Summons is not personally delivered to you within the State of New York); and in the case of your failure to appear or answer, judgment by default will be taken against you for the relief demanded herein.

Dated: November 7, 2019

Leah Costanzo, Esq.

STEVE BOYD, PC 40 North Forest Road

Williamsville, NY 14221

Telephone: (716) 400-0000 lcostanzo@steveboyd.com

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 814827/2019
RECEIVED NYSCEF: 11/07/2019

Jeffrey R. Anderson
J. Michael Reck
JEFF ANDERSON & ASSOCIATES, P.A.
52 Duane Street, 7th Floor
New York, NY 10007
Telephone: (646) 759-2551
jeff@andersonadvocates.com
mreck@andersonadvocates.com

Counsel for Plaintiff

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 814827/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 11/07/2019

STATE OF NEW YORK SUPREME COURT: COUNTY OF ERIE

AB 502 DOE,

Index No.

Plaintiff,

COMPLAINT

v.

HAMBURG CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT; HAMBURG HIGH SCHOOL,

Defendants.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL<sup>1</sup>

Plaintiff, by and through Plaintiff's attorneys, states and alleges as follows:

### **PSEUDONYM**

1. Plaintiff is authorized to file the instant action under a pseudonym and defendants are barred from disclosing Petitioner's true identity to the general public pursuant to an Amended Order of the Honorable Deborah A. Chimes. J.S.C. dated August 13, 2018 which is attached hereto.

#### **PARTIES**

- 2. At all times material to this Complaint, Plaintiff resided in the State of New York.
- 3. Whenever reference is made to any Defendant entity, such reference includes that entity, affiliates, predecessors, and successors. In addition, whenever reference is made to any act, deed, or transaction of any entity, the allegation means that the entity engaged in the act, deed, or transaction by or through its officers, directors, agents, employees, or representatives while they were actively engaged in the management, direction, control, or transaction of the entity's business or affairs.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Pursuant to §4 of the New York Child Victims Act, Plaintiff is entitled to a trial preference.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 814827/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 11/07/2019

4. At all times material, Defendant Hamburg Central School District ("Hamburg

Central") was and continues to be a public school district located in the County of Erie and State

of New York.

5. At all times material, Hamburg High School was and continues to be a public school

owned, controlled, supervised, operated and managed by Defendant Hamburg Central.

6. At all times material, Linda (King) Tedeschi ("Tedeschi") was an employee of

Defendant Hamburg Central.

<u>JURISDICTION</u>

7. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to C.P.L.R. § 301 as Defendant is a quasi-

municipal corporation created and organized by state legislatures and charged with the

administration of public schools in the State of New York and because the unlawful conduct

complained of herein occurred in New York.

8. Venue is proper pursuant to C.P.L.R. § 504 in that Defendant Hamburg Central is

situated in Erie County.

9. This complaint is brought under the Child Victims Act and, as such, the filing of a

Notice of Claim is not required.

**FACTS** 

10. At all times material, Tedeschi was employed by Defendant Hamburg Central and

remained under the direct supervision, employ, and control of Defendant Hamburg Central.

11. Defendant Hamburg Central placed Tedeschi in positions where she had access to

and worked with children as an integral part of her work. Specifically, Defendant Hamburg

Central placed and retained Tedeschi at Hamburg High School as the director of instrumental

music program.

2

4 of 12

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 814827/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 11/07/2019

12. At all times material, Plaintiff was a student at Hamburg High School.

13. Plaintiff, as a minor and vulnerable child, was dependent on Defendant Hamburg

Central and Tedeschi. Defendant Hamburg Central and Tedeschi had custody of Plaintiff and was

entrusted with the safety of Plaintiff and, therefore, had responsibility for and authority over

Plaintiff.

14. From approximately 1983 to 1987, when Plaintiff was approximately 14 to 18 years

old, Tedeschi engaged in unpermitted sexual contact with Plaintiff.

15. Defendant Hamburg Central knew or should have known that Tedeschi was a

danger to children before Tedeschi sexually assaulted Plaintiff.

16. Prior to the sexual abuse of Plaintiff, Defendant Hamburg Central learned or should

have learned that Tedeschi was not fit to work with children. Defendant Hamburg Central, by and

through their agents, servants and/or employees, became aware, or should have become aware of

Tedeschi's propensity to commit sexual abuse and of the risk to Plaintiff's safety. At the very

least, Defendant Hamburg Central knew or should have known that they did not have sufficient

information about whether or not its employees, more specifically, Tedeschi, were fit to work with

children.

17. Defendant Hamburg Central knew or should have known that there was a risk of

the sexual abuse of children attending Hamburg High School. At the very least, Defendant

Hamburg Central knew or should have known that they did not have sufficient information about

whether or not there was a risk of child sex abuse for children attending Hamburg High School.

3

5 of 12

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 814827/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 11/07/2019

18. Instead, Defendants negligently deemed that Tedeschi was fit to work with children and/or that any previous misconduct was fixed or cured and/or that Tedeschi would not sexually assault children and/or that Tedeschi would not injure children.

- 19. Defendant Hamburg Central owed Plaintiff a duty of reasonable care because they had superior knowledge about the risk that Tedeschi posed to Plaintiff, the risk of abuse in general in its schools and/or the risks that its facilities posed to minor children.
- 20. Defendant Hamburg Central owed a duty to Plaintiff to protect Plaintiff from harm because Defendant Hamburg Central's actions created a foreseeable risk of harm to Plaintiff. As a vulnerable child attending Hamburg High School, Plaintiff was a foreseeable victim. As a vulnerable child who Tedeschi had access to through Tedeschi's employment with Defendant Hamburg Central, Plaintiff was a foreseeable victim.
- 21. Defendant Hamburg Central also breached its duty to Plaintiff by actively maintaining and employing Tedeschi in a position of power and authority through which Tedeschi had access to children, including Plaintiff, and power and control over children, including Plaintiff.
- 22. Defendant Hamburg Central breached its duties to Plaintiff. Defendant Hamburg Central failed to use ordinary care in determining whether its facilities were safe and/or determining whether it had sufficient information to represent its facilities as safe. Defendant Hamburg Central's breach of its duties include, but are not limited to: failure to protect Plaintiff from a known danger, or reasonably foreseeable failure to have sufficient policies and procedures to prevent child sex abuse, failure to properly implement policies and procedures to prevent child sex abuse, failure to take reasonable measures to make sure that policies and procedures to prevent child sex abuse were working, failure to adequately inform families and children of the risks of child sex abuse, failure to investigate risks of child sex abuse, failure to have any outside agency

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 814827/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 11/07/2019

test its safety procedures, failure to protect the children attending its programs from child sex

abuse, failure to adhere to the applicable standard of care for child safety, failure to investigate the

amount and type of information necessary to represent the school and its employees as safe, failure

to train its employees properly to identify signs of child sexual abuse by fellow employees, and

failure to engage or timely engage certified mental health professionals.

23. Defendant Hamburg Central also breached its duty to Plaintiff by failing to warn

Plaintiff and Plaintiff's family of the risk that Tedeschi posed. Defendant further failed to warn

Plaintiff and Plaintiff's family of Defendant Hamburg Central's knowledge of the occurrence of

child sexual abuse.

24. Defendant Hamburg Central and/or its other agents violated their legal duty by

failing to report known and/or suspected abuse of children by Tedeschi to law enforcement.

25. As a direct result of Defendant Hamburg Central's negligence, Plaintiff has

suffered, and will continue to suffer, great pain of mind and body, severe and permanent emotional

distress, physical manifestations of emotional distress, embarrassment, loss of self-esteem,

humiliation and/or physical, personal and psychological injuries. Plaintiff was prevented, and will

continue to be prevented, from performing normal daily activities and obtaining the full enjoyment

of life; and/or has incurred and will continue to incur expenses for psychological treatment,

therapy, and counseling, and, on information and belief has and/or will incur loss of income and/or

loss of earning capacity.

AS AND FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION: NEGLIGENCE

26. Plaintiff incorporates all consistent paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth

under this count.

5

7 of 12

ERIE COUNTY CLERK 11/07/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 11/07/2019

INDEX NO. 814827/2019

Defendant Hamburg Central owed Plaintiff a duty of reasonable care to protect the 27.

Plaintiff from injury.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

28. Defendant Hamburg Central owed Plaintiff a duty of reasonable care because

Defendant Hamburg Central had a special relationship with Plaintiff.

29. Defendant Hamburg Central also had a duty arising from its special relationship

with Plaintiff's parents, and other parents of young, vulnerable children, to properly train

and supervise its employees. Defendant Hamburg Central had a duty to establish measures of

protection not necessary for persons who are older or better able to safeguard themselves.

30. By representing Tedeschi as safe to work with children, and by undertaking the

custody and supervision of the minor Plaintiff, Defendant Hamburg Central had a fiduciary

relationship with the minor Plaintiff. As a result of Plaintiff being a minor, and by Defendant

Hamburg Central's undertaking of the care and guidance of then vulnerable minor Plaintiff,

Defendant Hamburg Central held a position of empowerment over Plaintiff.

31. Defendant Hamburg Central had an in loco parentis relationship with Plaintiff and

owed Plaintiff a duty to protect Plaintiff from injury.

32. By establishing, operating and/or administrating Hamburg High School, accepting

the minor Plaintiff as a participant in its programs, holding its facilities and programs out to be a

safe environment for Plaintiff, accepting custody of the minor Plaintiff in loco parentis, and by

virtue of its fiduciary relationship with Plaintiff, Defendant Hamburg Central entered into an

express and/or implied duty to properly supervise Plaintiff and provide a reasonably safe

environment for children attending its schools.

33. By establishing and operating Hamburg High School and by accepting the

enrollment and participation of the minor Plaintiff in its educational programs, Defendant

6

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 814827/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 11/07/2019

Hamburg Central owed Plaintiff a duty to properly supervise Plaintiff to prevent harm from

generally foreseeable dangers. Defendant Hamburg Central had the duty to exercise the same

degree of care over minor students under its control as a reasonably prudent parent would have

exercised under similar circumstances.

34. Defendant Hamburg Central owed Plaintiff a duty to protect Plaintiff from harm

because Defendant Hamburg Central was aware of Plaintiff's presence on its property and aware

that Tedeschi posed a danger on Defendant Hamburg Central's property.

35. Defendant Hamburg Central breached its duties to Plaintiff by failing to use

reasonable care. Defendant Hamburg Central's failures include, but are not limited to, failing to

properly supervise Tedeschi, failing to properly supervise Plaintiff and failing to protect Plaintiff

from a known danger.

36. As a direct result of the foregoing, Plaintiff sustained physical, emotional, and

psychological injuries, along with pain and suffering.

AS AND FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION:
NEGLIGENT HIRING

37. Plaintiff incorporates all consistent paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth

under this court.

38. At all times material, Tedeschi was employed by Defendant Hamburg Central and

was under Defendant Hamburg Central's direct supervision, employ and control when he/she

committed the wrongful acts alleged herein. Tedeschi engaged in the illegal conduct while acting

in the course and scope of her employment with Defendant Hamburg Central and/or accomplished

the sexual abuse by virtue of her/her job-created authority.

.

7

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 11/07/2019

INDEX NO. 814827/2019

39. Defendant Hamburg Central negligently hired and/or negligently placed Tedeschi

in a position to cause foreseeable harm which Plaintiff would not have been subject to had

Defendant Hamburg Central taken reasonable care in its pre-hiring investigation of Tedeschi.

40. Defendant Hamburg Central knew or should have known of Tedeschi's propensity

for the type of behavior which resulted in Plaintiff's injuries.

As a result of the foregoing, Plaintiff sustained physical, emotional and 41.

psychological injuries, along with pain and suffering.

AS AND FOR A THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION: NEGLIGENT TRAINING AND SUPERVISION

42. Plaintiff incorporates all consistent paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth

under this count.

43. At all times material, Tedeschi was employed by Defendant Hamburg Central and

was under each Defendant Hamburg Central's direct supervision, employ, and control when he

committed the wrongful acts alleged herein. Tedeschi engaged in the wrongful conduct while

acting in the course and scope of her employment with Defendant Hamburg Central and/or

accomplished the sexual abuse by virtue of her job-created authority.

44. Defendant Hamburg Central had a duty, arising from its employment of Tedeschi,

to ensure that Tedeschi did not sexually abuse children.

45. Further, Defendant Hamburg Central had a duty to train and educate employees and

administrators and establish adequate and effective policies and procedures calculated to detect,

prevent, and address inappropriate behavior and conduct between its employees and children.

46. Defendant Hamburg Central was negligent in the training, supervision, and

instruction of its employees. Defendant Hamburg Central failed to timely and properly educate,

8

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 814827/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 11/07/2019

train, supervise, and/or monitor its agents or employees with regard to policies and procedures that should be followed when sexual abuse of a child is suspected or observed.

- 47. Defendant Hamburg Central was additionally negligent in failing to supervise, monitor, chaperone, and/or investigate Tedeschi and/or in failing to create, institute, and/or enforce rules, policies, procedures, and/or regulations to prevent Tedeschi's sexual abuse of Plaintiff.
- 48. Defendant Hamburg Central further failed to establish policies, procedures, training, manuals and other instructive materials and failed to publish such materials to all employees and administrators.
- 49. As a direct result of the foregoing, Plaintiff sustained physical, emotional, and psychological injuries, along with pain and suffering.

# AS AND FOR A FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION: NEGLIGENT RETENTION

- 50. Plaintiff incorporates all consistent paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth under this count.
- 51. Defendant Hamburg Central became aware or should have become aware of Tedeschi's propensity for child sexual abuse, and failed to take any further action to remedy the problem and failed to investigate or remove Tedeschi from working with children.
- 52. Defendant Hamburg Central negligently and/or recklessly retained Tedeschi with knowledge of Tedeschi's propensity for the type of behavior which resulted in Plaintiff's injuries in this action.
- 53. Defendants negligently and/or recklessly retained Tedeschi in a position where he had access to children and could foreseeably cause harm which Plaintiff would not have been subjected to had Defendant Hamburg Central acted reasonably.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 814827/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 11/07/2019

54. In failing to timely remove Tedeschi from working with children or terminate the employment of Tedeschi, Defendant Hamburg Central negligently and/or recklessly failed to exercise the degree of care that a reasonably prudent parent would have exercised under similar circumstances, and created an increased risk of future harm.

55. As a direct result of the foregoing, Plaintiff sustained physical, emotional, and psychological injuries, along with pain and suffering.

## PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, based on the foregoing causes of action, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendant(s) in an amount that will fully and fairly compensate Plaintiff for Plaintiff's injuries and damages, and for any other relief the Court deems appropriate. The amount of damages sought in this Complaint exceeds the jurisdictional limits of all lower courts which would otherwise have jurisdiction.

DATED: November 7, 2019

Leah Costanzo, Esq.

STEVE BOYD, PC

40 North Forest Road

Williamsville, NY 14221

Telephone: (716) 400-0000
lcostanzo@steveboyd.com

Jeffrey R. Anderson
J. Michael Reck
JEFF ANDERSON & ASSOCIATES, P.A.
52 Duane Street, 7th Floor
New York, NY 10007
Telephone: (646) 759-2551
jeff@andersonadvocates.com
mreck@andersonadvocates.com
Counsel for Plaintiff